

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. D 04712/02000G 07/05/00 LEE 09/284,297 **EXAMINER** HM22/0220 LEVY, N MARY ROSE SCOZZAFAVA **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER CLARK & ELBING 176 FEDERAL STREET 1616 BOSTON MA 02110 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

02/20/01

	No. Applicarit(s)
Application	97
Office Action Summary Examiner	Tilery Group Art Unit 19
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov	ver sheet beneath the correspondence address-
P riod for Reply	On NAIX
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $_$ OF THIS COMMUNICATION	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enfrom the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the structure. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) for Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the approximation. 	atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status 0 / 2 2 / 2 -	-2
\Box Responsive to communication(s) filed on $2/28/207$	- 0
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal ma accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 45	
Dispositi n of Claims	
R Claim(s) 40,4243, 103, 111-148	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
70,42,43, 103, 111-140	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
Claim(s)	requirement.
	requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTo	O-948.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTo The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	O-948. approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTo The proposed drawing correction, filed on is The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	O-948. approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTo The proposed drawing correction, filed on is The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	O-948. approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTo The proposed drawing correction, filed on is The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	O-948. approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTo The proposed drawing correction, filed on is The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	O-948. approved □ disapproved. Examiner.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTo The proposed drawing correction, filed on is sare objected to by the large objected to by the large objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do	O-948. approved disapproved. Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(d).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTo The proposed drawing correction, filed on is _ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the _ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	O-948. approved disapproved. Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(d). couments have been
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTo ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	O-948. approved disapproved. Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(d). accuments have been
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTo The proposed drawing correction, filed on is are objected to by the large of the drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	O-948. approved disapproved. Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(d). becuments have been reau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTo □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	O-948. approved disapproved. Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(d). becuments have been reau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTo □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	O-948. approved disapproved. Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ocuments have been reau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTo The proposed drawing correction, filed on	O-948. approved disapproved. Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ocuments have been reau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. _____

Application/Control Number: 09/289,297

Art Unit: 1616

Receipt is acknowledged of IDS, Correction, Petitions, Declaration, and amendment.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species of active: Antibiotics, bone protein, and vaccines.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 40,42,43,103,111-120,122-134,136-145,147 and 148 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species of supplemental material of, claim 121.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 40,42,43,103,111-120,122-134,136-145,147 and 148 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 09/289,297

Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A telephone call was made to Attorney ManRose Scozzafava on 2/12/2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is (703) -308-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) -308-4628. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) -305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) -308-1235.

Levy/LR

February 16, 2001

NEIL S. LEVY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mullar